Rigorous analysis of vectorial plasmonic diffraction: single- and double-slit experiments
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Abstract
A rigorous vectorial formulation of the surface diffractive optics of plasmon polaritons is derived using the Green’s function formalism. Theoretical predictions for several 2D plasmonic scenarios, i.e. plasmonic single- and double-slit diffraction, are exemplified and compared with published experimental observations. The importance, in near field diffraction, of all vectorial components of plasmonic modes is discussed.
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1. Introduction
Electromagnetic waves propagating on the interface between metal and dielectric substances—surface plasmon polaritons (SPP) [1]—have attracted a lot of scientific and technological interest in recent decades [2]. SPP are confined to the interface, and, under some circumstances, open up possibilities for sub-wavelength and even nano-confinement of visible and infra-red optical power [3, 4], overcoming the conventional diffraction limit [5, 6]. Complex waveguides [7–10] and cavities [11], based on the plasmonic effect, are promising candidates for incorporation into optical nano-circuitry. Two-dimensional (2D) nano-optics [12, 13] based on a single metal–dielectric interface may be important for sensing and lab-on-a-chip schemes where plasmon optics will interact with substances. Two-dimensional optics using plasmonics was exhibited experimentally—in 2D plasmonic focusing [14–16], as well as double-slit experiments [17]. The related field of SPP assisted extraordinary transmission [18] may be employed as an efficient nano-device for optical filtering and focusing [19]. Scattering of SPP by surface imperfections was investigated in [20], tailoring both far and near field behavior of out of plane scattered waves; however, surface (in plane) diffraction was not considered in detail.

In many cases diffraction scenarios are treated using a scalar model. This model asserts that each vector component of the electrical field diffracts independently of the other components. For plasmons, which are essentially TM waves, there is a strong coupling between the vector components. Furthermore, in the metal the main component is the longitudinal one. We dwell here on a vectorial solution to the diffraction problem. First, we analyze a plasmonic point source on the metal/dielectric interface, namely a 2D point source where the transverse direction (out of plane) is obtained by the eigenfunction of the plasmonic solution. The result is shown to converge into a plasmonic traveling wave when moving on the surface away from the source (‘far field’). This point source is used to create a Green’s function for the Rayleigh–Sommerfeld formalism [21] which will be employed to solve the diffraction scenarios. To emphasize, plasmonic point sources (dipole-like patterns) were investigated theoretically [22] and even experimentally [16]. However, similar to the polarization-independent pure spherical waves (not Hertz dipole patterns) that are of use in 3D diffraction related problems, the polarization-independent basis of SPPs is much more suitable. Here, we investigate such a point source and further employ it to the description of single- and double-slit diffraction and interference.

2. SPP point source
A plasmonic point source is located on the interface between dielectric and metal semi-infinite spaces (figure 1(a)). The basic plasmonic field is bounded to the surface, decaying...
exponentially into both media. The SPP mode on each semi-infinite side of the metal–dielectric interface \((z = 0)\) may be regarded as traveling in a 2D homogeneous medium, described by the proper Maxwell’s wave equation. Employing azimuthal symmetry of cylindrical coordinates, only the \(z\)-component of the fields satisfies the 3D Helmholtz equation:

\[
\nabla^2 E_z + \varepsilon_d k_0^2 E_z = 0
\]

where \(\varepsilon_d\) and \(\varepsilon_m\) are the permittivities of the dielectric and metal material, respectively, and \(k_0\) is the wavenumber in free space. Forcing an exponential decay when moving away from the surface \(|z| > 0\) reduces the equation to a 2D equation:

\[
\nabla^2 E_z + k_d^2 E_z = 0
\]

with the following relations:

\[
k_{z,d} = \sqrt{k_0^2 - \varepsilon_d k_0^2} \\
k_{z,m} = -\sqrt{k_0^2 - \varepsilon_m k_0^2}.
\]

The appropriate Green’s function for this equation, with spatial delta excitation at the surface origin, is a zero-order Hankel function of the first kind, having a far field approximation of a cylindrical wave traveling away from the origin (assuming \(e^{-i\omega t}\) time dependency). The other vector components of the magnetic and electric fields may be derived using Maxwell’s equations on both sides of the interface. Imposing the boundary conditions we obtain:

\[
\vec{E} = E_0 \left( H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) \hat{z} - \frac{k_{z,d}}{k_\rho} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho \rho) \hat{\rho} \right) \\
\times \exp(-k_{z,d} z), \quad z > 0
\]

The resulting field is depicted in figure 1(b)—the SPP indeed behaves as a cylindrical traveling wave. Asymptotic expansion of the Hankel functions results in the metal side:

\[
\vec{E}_m = \vec{E}_0 \left( \hat{z} + \frac{k_{z,m}}{k_\rho} \hat{\rho} \right) \exp(-k_{z,m} z + ik_\rho \rho).
\]

This ‘far field’ approximation is valid as close as 0.3 free-space wavelengths away from the origin (figure 2).

### 3. SPP diffraction

A basic plasmonic diffraction problem is of a single slit in an opaque screen on the metal dielectric layer. The solution,
using the Rayleigh–Sommerfeld formalism, requires a Green’s function which vanishes on the screen. The field components are strictly related via Maxwell’s equation; specifically, the knowledge of $z$-component provides the possibility of evaluation of all the others. If the SPP retains its characteristics during the propagation, the diffraction of the $z$-component of the electric field will provide complete knowledge of the mode behavior.

The Green’s function of the problem is the linear combination of the required component of the SPP and its mirror image across the screen ($x = 0$) with opposite phase, namely the first Rayleigh–Sommerfeld solution:

$$G(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}') = -\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \tilde{\rho}) + \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho \tilde{\rho}')$$

$$P(x, y, y') = \sqrt{(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2}$$

$$\tilde{P}(x, y, y') = \sqrt{(x + x')^2 + (y - y')^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The formalism then allows evaluation of the diffraction pattern with the integral form:

$$E_z = -\frac{1}{2} k_\rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{z, \text{incident}} P(y') \frac{x}{P(y')} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho P(y')) \, dy'$$

$$E_x = \frac{1}{2} k_\rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{z, \text{incident}} \frac{x^2}{P^2(y')} \left[ \frac{2}{P(y')} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho P(y')) \right. \left. - k_\rho H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho P(y')) \right] \, dy'$$

$$E_y = \frac{1}{2} k_\rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{z, \text{incident}} \frac{x(y - y')}{P^2(y')} \left[ \frac{2}{P(y')} H_1^{(1)}(k_\rho P(y')) \right. \left. - k_\rho H_0^{(1)}(k_\rho P(y')) \right] \, dy'$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $E_{z, \text{incident}}$ is the $z$-component of the incident SPP field. Here we consider the field amplitudes on the metal/dielectric surface, where they are maximal.

To emphasize, this technique approximates the field in the slit to be exactly the same as that of the incident field, which is a good approximation for slit dimensions wider than the wavelength. For a sub-wavelength slit, the interference between the plasmonic waves propagating in the slit plane will be significant.

4. Single slit diffraction and SPP Young’s experiment

These equations (equations (8)) were employed to solve the SPP diffraction from a single slit. The slit width was taken to be four free-space wavelengths ($4 \times 1.55 \mu m$). The diffraction pattern for the ‘far’ field is depicted in figure 3(a), and that for the ‘near’ field in figure 3(b). It may be seen that after the propagation of tens of free-space wavelengths the intensity pattern behaves like a free-space pattern of cylindrical waves. Figures 3(c) and (d) demonstrate the diffraction of the longitudinal $E_y$ component, which does not exist in the incident wave. This component, inherent in the vectorial nature of the SPP, comprises higher frequency components, but its magnitude is usually negligible. However, approaching the SPP frequency, the vectorial nature becomes more prominent (figure 4) (asymptotically at the
Figure 4. Single ($\lambda$) slit SPP diffraction pattern at 413 nm. (a) Field intensity. (b) $|E_y|^2$.

SPP frequency both components are equal. The higher frequency longitudinal $E_y$ component is important at such frequencies for proper design of plasmonic based circuitry. We compared the diffraction patterns calculated by our vectorial model and a scalar model which employs an effective index approach. Figure 5 represents the intensity profiles on a virtual screen, situated at a distance of 30 wavelengths from the slit. The profile was calculated by both techniques and at different wavelength excitations. Far from the surface plasmon resonance wavelength the SPP may be treated as an almost scalar wave (at least for diffraction related scenarios) (figure 5(a)); however, the vectorial nature turns out to be significant as the excitation wavelength approaches the surface resonance (figures 5(b) and (c)). The normalized relative error between the models was calculated at 1.55 $\mu$m as 2%, at 680 nm as 16% and at 413 nm as 58%. The visibility of the diffraction patterns is also different for vectorial and scalar models.

We used the results of the single-slit diffraction to construct a model for the Young’s double-slit experiment by solving the configuration of the two slits, as depicted in figure 6(a). We used a layout very similar to the experimental set up reported in [17]: each slit is 2 $\mu$m wide and they are separated by a 4 $\mu$m screen. The results of a numerical experiment may be seen in figure 6(b).

Figure 5. Single ($\lambda$) slit SPP diffraction pattern profile at a distance of 30$\lambda$ from the slit. Blue solid line, our vectorial model; red dashed line, scalar model. Excitation wavelength of: (a) 1.55 $\mu$m, (b) 680 nm and (c) 413 nm.

The results closely resemble the measurement results described in [17]—the high frequency features of the near field as well as the appearance of a main lobe are described by our full-vectorial model but are absent in the original scalar model employed in [17]. We compare the interference pattern profile at a distance of 15 wavelengths from the slits (figure 6(c)) and estimated the relative deviation of the results to be as high as 16%.

5. Conclusions

We investigated a full-vectorial point source for surface plasmon modes, showing it is suitable for a proper description of two-dimensional diffraction problems. The diffraction from
Figure 6. SPP Young’s double-slit experiment. (a) Basic configuration. (b) Field intensity estimated by our full-vectorial model for comparison with experimental and theoretical data [17]. (c) Interference pattern at a distance of 15 wavelengths from the slits. Blue solid line, vectorial model; red dashed line, scalar model.

a single slit was obtained with delicate near field features. The investigated model was compared to a widely used scalar one, based on the effective index approach. The deviations between the models turns out to be very large near the surface plasmon resonance, where the transverse and longitudinal components of the plasmonic modes are comparable and the vectorial nature cannot be discarded. Results of a plasmonic double-slit experiment were shown to be in very good correlation between existing measurements and our model, while the scalar model fails to show adequately the near field patterns and underestimates the overall intensity (and therefore the visibility) of the fringes.
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